IJDACR
ISSN: 2319-4863

IJDACR
International Journal Of Digital Application & Contemporary Research

International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary Research
Website: www.ijdacr.com (Volume 5, Issue 3, October 2016)

A Review of Different Clustering Protocols for WSN

Namrata Bhagat
M. Tech. Scholar
Computer Science Department
namrata.bhagat1802@gmail.com

Praneeja Kasture
Asst. Professor
Computer Science Department
praneeja.kasture@gmail.com

Abstract – **Wireless Sensor Network is collection of Sensors. Sensor nodes gather the sensory information and communicating with other nodes in networks. Key Challenges in Wireless Sensor Network is saving energy and extend the network life time. Many clustering protocol are used to manage the data transference in Wireless Sensor Network which are used in two types of network: Homogenous and Heterogeneous. The nodes having the same energy level are called Homogenous Network and the network having different energy level are Heterogeneous Network. Heterogeneous wireless sensor network (WSN) comprises of sensor nodes with distinctive capability, for example, diverse computing power and sensing range. Contrasted with homogeneous WSN, arrangement and topology control are more perplexing in heterogeneous WSN. This paper reviews about different clustering protocols for WSN.**

Keywords –**Heterogeneous WSN, Homogenous WSN.**

I. INTRODUCTION

With the advancements in the innovation of micro electro mechanical system (MEMS), improvements in wireless communications and wireless sensor networks have likewise developed [1]. Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) have turned into a standout amongst the most intriguing zones of examination in the recent years. A WSN is comprises of countless sensor nodes which structure a sensor region and a sink. These immense amounts of nodes, having the capacities to sense their surroundings, perform constrained count and impart wirelessly structure the WSNs [2]. Particular capacities, for example, alerting, tracking and sensing as depicted by Shorey [3], might be gotten through participation among these nodes. These parameters make wireless sensors extremely helpful for checking common phenomena, ecological progressions [4], controlling security, assessing activity streams, observing military application [5], and following cordial constrains in the war zones. These undertakings require high trustworthiness of the sensor systems. To make sensor networks more trustworthy, the consideration regarding research on heterogeneous wireless sensor systems has been done in previous years [6, 7].

II. CLUSTERING PROTOCOLS FOR WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

Katiyar et al. [8] overviewed clustering calculations for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks. They grouped clustering algorithms focused around two fundamental paradigms: as indicated by the strength and vitality proficiency. They likewise overviewed a few energy-efficient clustering protocols for heterogeneous WSNs. In this segment, we need to overview and look at other energy proficient conventions for clustering in heterogeneous wireless sensor networks.

Energy Efficient Heterogeneous Clustered Scheme (EEHC)

Dilip and Patel [9] proposed an energy effective heterogeneous clustered method (EEHC), for choosing cluster heads in a conveyed manner in various levelled wireless sensor networks. The election probabilities of cluster heads are weighted by the leftover energy of a node with respect to that of different nodes in the system. The algorithm is focused around LEACH and takes a shot at the decision methodologies of the cluster head in vicinity of heterogeneity of nodes. Reproductions results demonstrate that EEHC is more viable in drawing out the system lifetime contrasted and LEACH.

Distributed Energy Balance Clustering (DEBC) Protocol

Changmin Duan and Hong Fan [10] proposed a distributed energy balance clustering DEBC) protocol for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks. Cluster heads are chosen by a likelihood relying upon the degree between residual energy of node and the average energy of network. The high initial and residual energy nodes have a bigger number of opportunities to be the cluster heads than the low energy nodes. This protocol additionally considers two-level heterogeneity and afterward it expands the results for multi-level heterogeneity.

IJDACR
International Journal Of Digital Application & Contemporary Research

International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary Research
Website: www.ijdacr.com (Volume 5, Issue 3, October 2016)

DEBC is not the same as LEACH, which verify every node might be cluster head in every ni=1/p rounds. This paper finds the DEBC is better than LEACH and SEP.

Weighted Election Protocol (WEP)

Rashed et al. [11] proposed a routing protocol with a specific end goal to upgrade the stability period of wireless sensor networks. This protocol is called weighted election protocol (WEP). It acquaints a plan with join together clustering methodology with chain routing algorithm for fulfil both energy and stable period compels under heterogeneous environment in WSN.

In this method, the authors have considered the accompanying suspicions:

- Every sensor node has power control and the capability to transmit information to any possible sensor node or specifically to the base station.
- In the prototype, two sorts of nodes are utilized, for example, normal node and advanced node where advanced node have more energy as compared to normal node.
- Advanced nodes need to wind up cluster heads more frequently as compared to normal nodes by distinct threshold for each one sort of node
- There is no mobility.

WEP allocates a weight to the optimal probability p_{opt} for every node. This weight must be equivalent to the initial energy of every node partitioned by the initial energy of the ordinary node. In the wake of doling out weighted likelihood of each one sort nodes, this convention can choose cluster head and their related non-cluster head as the same path as it done in LEACH protocol. At that point that can utilize greedy algorithm to make a chain among the chose cluster heads. In the wake of building chain among cluster head nodes, a chain pioneer is chosen arbitrarily. Utilizing TDMA scheme, all non-cluster head nodes send their information to their particular cluster head nodes. The cluster head nodes in each one cluster then fused those information lastly send to the base station.

Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering (DEEC) Algorithm

Distributed energy efficient clustering algorithm is proposed by Qing et al. [12]. In DEEC, the cluster heads are picked by a probability centered on the degree between leftover energy of each node and the average energy of the network. The epochs of being cluster heads out to nodes are diverse as per their residual and initial energy.

The authors have expected that all the nodes of the sensor network are furnished with distinctive measure of energy, which is a wellspring of heterogeneity. DEEC is likewise focused around LEACH; it pivots the cluster head part among all nodes to use energy consistency.

Two levels of heterogeneous nodes are considered in the algorithm and after that a general answer for multi-level heterogeneity is acquired. To keep away from that every node needs to know the worldwide information of the network, DEEC gauges the perfect estimation of network life-time, which is utilized to process the reference energy that every node ought to exhaust throughout a round.

Developed Distributed Energy-efficient Clustering (DDEEC)

Elbhiri et al. [13] proposed a created distributed energy efficient clustering scheme for heterogeneous WSNs. This method is focused around changing rapidly and with more proficiency the cluster head election probability.

DDEEC is focused around DEEC technique, where all nodes utilize the beginning and residual energy level to characterize the cluster heads. To sidestep that every node needs to have the worldwide information of the networks, DDEEC like DEEC assessment the perfect estimation of network lifetime, which is utilized to process the reference energy that every node ought to exhaust throughout each one round.

In the technique, the network is sorted out into a clustering hierarchy, and the cluster heads gather estimations data from cluster nodes and transmit the collected information to the base station straightforwardly. Additionally, the authors have assumed that the network topology is settled and no-changing on time. The contrast in the middle of DDEEC and DEEC is restricted in the articulation which characterize the likelihood to be a cluster head for normal and advanced nodes. Simulation results demonstrate that the protocol performs superior to the SEP and DEEC regarding network lifetime and first node passes on.

Stochastic Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering (SDEEC)

An enhancement of DEEC is proposed as stochastic DEEC by Elbhiri et al. [14]. SDEEC is a self-organized network with dynamic clustering. This algorithm presents an element strategy where the cluster head selection probability is more proficient. In this protocol, the cluster head choice in general system is focused around nodes' remaining energy.

IJDACR
International Journal Of Digital Application & Contemporary Research

International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary Research
Website: www.ijdacr.com (Volume 5, Issue 3, October 2016)

As per the protocol, all non-cluster head nodes send information to particular cluster heads in their distributed transmission time. The cluster head node must keep its beneficiary on, to get all the information from the nodes in the cluster. Certain signal processing is performed by cluster head to layer the information into a solitary signal when all the information is gotten. After this stage, each one cluster head sends the totalled information to its prime cluster head. Every non-cluster head can turn off to the sleep mode to save the energy. The disservice in the protocol is that if non-cluster head nodes turn off to the sleep mode when cluster head is performing collection, how they will come to think about the following round of cluster head choice. Simulation results demonstrate that SDEEC performs superior to SEP and DEEC regarding network lifetime.

Threshold Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering (TDEEC) Protocol

Saini and K. Sharma [15] proposed an energy efficient cluster head scheme for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks, which is called Threshold Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering protocol.
In this technique, the authors have considered the accompanying suppositions:

- Sensor nodes are consistently arbitrarily conveyed in the network.
- Nodes are position-unaware, i.e. not outfitted with GPS competent antennas.
- Nodes have comparable preparing and correspondence capacities and equivalent consequence.
- Sensor nodes have heterogeneity as far as energy i.e., distinctive energy levels. All nodes have diverse initial energy; a few nodes are outfitted with more energy than the ordinary nodes.

In TDEEC, the authors have balanced the estimation of the threshold, as per which a node chooses to be a cluster head or not, in view of degree of average energy and residual energy of that adjust in admiration to the ideal number of cluster heads. Simulation results demonstrate that TDEEC performs better as contrasted with SEP and DEEC in heterogeneous environment for WSN.

Enhanced Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering (E-DEEC)

Heinzelman, et al. [16] proposed LEACH centralized (LEACH-C), a convention that utilizes a centralized clustering algorithm and the same steady state protocol as LEACH. SEP (Stable Election Protocol) [17] is proposed in which each sensor node in a heterogeneous two-level progressive system freely chooses itself as a cluster head focused around its initial energy in respect to that of different nodes. Li Qing et al. proposed DEEC [12] (Distributed energy efficient Clustering) algorithm in which cluster head is chosen on the premise of probability of proportion of remaining energy and average energy of the system. Simulations demonstrate that its execution is superior to different protocols. B. Elbhiri et al. proposed SBDEEC (Stochastic and Balanced Developed Distributed Energy-Efficient Clustering) [18]. SBDEEC presents an adjusted and element system where the cluster head election probability is more effective. Besides, it utilizes a stochastic scheme recognition to enlarge the network lifetime. Simulation results demonstrate that this protocol is superior to the Stable Election Protocol (SEP) and the Distributed Energy- Efficient Clustering (DEEC) as far as network lifetime. The E-DEEC (Enhanced Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering) scheme is based on DEEC with addition of super nodes.

III. Review of Clustering Papers

Jun Wang et al. clarify the clustering Algorithm, a key system used to draw out the lifetime of a sensor organize by diminishing energy utilization. It can draw out the network lifetime and enhance versatility. In this paper, the authors proposed a novel mixture circulated energy productive heterogeneous clustered protocol for wireless sensor networks (HDEEHC). The HDEEHC protocol occasionally chooses cluster heads as indicated by a cross breed of an essential parameter and an auxiliary parameter. The leftover energy and the kind of a node is the first parameter in the election of a cluster head, and the closeness to its neighbours or node degree is the second. The nodes which have high beginning and remaining energy will have more opportunities to be the cluster heads than the low-energy nodes. The clustering does not rely on upon the network topology or size. At long last, the reproduction results demonstrate that HDEEHC accomplishes a more extended lifetime and more dependability than HEED clustering protocols in heterogeneous situations [19].

Zhanyang Xu et al. demonstrated the bunching has the points of interest of low energy utilization, straightforward directing plan and great versatility, and is generally embraced. Step by step instructions to diminish the energy utilization while drawing out the network lifetime continues through to the end issue however. In this paper, a Density-based Energy-Efficient Clustering Heterogeneous Algorithm (DECHA) is proposed for routing.

IJDACR
International Journal Of Digital Application & Contemporary Research

International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary Research
Website: www.ijdacr.com (Volume 5, Issue 3, October 2016)

Taking after the contemplations of LEACH, the election probability of nodes to wind up cluster heads is assessed. As to the likelihood, we have thickness allude to the position data of a node, and together with its energy limit serve as essential weighted measurements. Further assessment is defeated a finer choice of cluster heads. Simulation results demonstrate that aggregate energy utilization is lessened and lifetime of the network is delayed contrasted and LEACH [20].

C.divya et al. concentrate on a critical errand to gather the information intermittently from different sensors node for observing and recording the physical states of nature. The sensed information must be transmitted and got between the nodes in the network. The Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy network (LEACH) is one of the routing protocol to transmit the information between the nodes in the network. In this work, LEACH is altered and created the new idea called MLEACH. This protocol is energy proficient for heterogeneous network. The execution was broke down by considering the time period and it demonstrates that the amount of alive nodes was less. Since the alive node is less the energy utilization is likewise less and in this way expanding the energy proficiency of the network. The relative examination was made between the current and the proposed technique. Simulation result demonstrates that the proposed strategy is more energy effective than the current protocol [21].

S Taruna et al. studied the Wireless sensor networks (WSN), which is comprise of hundreds or many sensor nodes each of which is fit for sensing, transforming, and transmitting ecological data. Though WSNs are progressively prepared to handle more unpredictable capacities, in-network preparing still requires the battery powered sensors to sensibly utilize their constrained energy to draw out the powerful network life time. There are a couple of conventions utilizing sensor clusters to arrange the energy utilization in a WSN. This paper proposes a Zone based Heterogeneous Energy Efficient Clustering (ZHEEC) convention so as to adjust the energy utilization among all nodes. In this scheme, the authors have isolated the network into different equivalent size zones [22].

Nilima Rani Das et al. showed that Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) were at first intended to encourage military operations yet its application has since been stretched out to wellbeing, movement, and numerous other customer and modern ranges. The measure of the sensor nodes can likewise go from the extent of a shoe box to as little as the span of a grain of dust. As being what is indicated, their costs additionally differ from a couple of pennies to several dollars relying upon the parameters of a sensor like computational rate, transfer speed, energy utilization and memory. Various researches have been carried out to augment the life span, adjust the heap and enhance the energy proficiency of the WSN with insignificant extra overhead. This requires the effective association of the system topology. For attaining adaptable and productive correspondence and fitting association of the system topology WSN utilization clustering. This paper examined the underlying outline standards and goals of some current energy proficient clustering algorithms [23].

M. Jagadeeswara Reddy et al. predominantly concentrates on Re-clustering in heterogeneous WSN for keep up the heap parity and information accumulation. The proposed convention primarily concentrate on the key parameters of the sensor nodes which are delay the network lifetime, for example, average remaining energy of the each one cluster head keeping in mind the end goal to expand the network lifetime, energy dispersal of the sensor nodes [24].

Afroz Mansoori studied about the WSN, a developing engineering for observing physical world. The energy obligation of Wireless sensor networks makes energy sparing and prolonging the network lifetime turn into the most vital objectives of different routing protocols. Distinctive energy effective clustering protocols for heterogeneous WSN and thinks about these protocols on different focuses like, clustering technique, position awareness, heterogeneity level and clustering Attributes. Energy efficient clustering protocols ought to be intended for the properties for heterogeneous WSN. Several issues in WSNs are formed as multidimensional advancement issues, and approached through bio-motivated methods. Particle swarm optimization PSO) is a straightforward, compelling and computationally proficient improvement algorithm. It has been connected to address WSN issues, for example, ideal organization, node limitation and clustering &information accumulation [25].

S. R. Boselin Prabhu et al. studied the WSNs which is a standout amongst the most quickly developing scientific space. This is a result of the advancement of cutting advanced sensor nodes with amazingly ease and the potential applications of such sensor nodes are perpetually developing. Routing in WSN is bit more unpredictable than other wired or remote systems. The traditional routing protocols cannot be utilized here because of its battery controlled nodes. To help versatility, energy

IJDACR
International Journal Of Digital Application & Contemporary Research

International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary Research
Website: www.ijdacr.com (Volume 5, Issue 3, October 2016)

productivity and proficient routing, nodes are frequently gathered into non-covering clusters. This paper gives a fresh presentation on clustering process in WSNs. The study of distinctive circulated clustering calculations (adaptive clustering algorithms) utilized as a part of WSNs, taking into account a few measurements, for example, clustering objective, cluster count, cluster head mobility, cluster stability, cluster head role and cluster head determination is carried out. The study closes with correlation of few distributed clustering algorithms in WSNs focused around these measurements [26].

D. Kumar et al. proposed a novel Energy Efficient Clustering and Data Aggregation (EECDA) algorithm for the heterogeneous WSNs which joins the plans of energy productive cluster based directing and information total to attain a finer execution regarding lifetime and strength. EECDA convention incorporates a novel cluster head election system and a way would be chosen with greatest aggregate of energy deposits for information transmission rather than the way with least energy utilization. Simulation results demonstrate that EECDA equalization the energy utilization and draws out the system lifetime by a component of 51%, 35% and 10% when contrasted with LEACH, EEHCA and EDGA individually [27].

Ashok Kumar et al. highlighted the energy productive operation of sensor node which is a key issue in WSN. Clustering is a successful technique to delay the lifetime of energy compelled WSNs. Be that as it may, clustering in WSNs confronts a few difficulties, for example, determination of an ideal gathering of sensor nodes as cluster, ideal choice of cluster head, energy adjusted ideal technique for pivoting the part of head in a cluster, keeping up intra and entomb cluster integration and ideal information routing in the network. This paper proposes an algorithm supporting an energy effective clustering, cluster head choice/revolution and information routing strategy to delay the lifetime of sensor network. Simulation results show that the proposed convention delays network lifetime because of the utilization of proficient clustering, cluster head choice/turn and information routing [28].

Vinay Kumar et al. explored to augment network lifetime in WSNs the ways for information move are chosen in such a way, to the point that the aggregate energy devoured along the way is minimized. To help high versatility and better information conglomeration, sensor nodes are frequently gathered into disjoint, non-covering subsets called clusters. Clusters make progressive WSNs which consolidate productive use of constrained assets of sensor nodes and consequently grows network lifetime. The goal of this paper is to present an overview on clustering algorithms reported in the writing of WSNs. This paper displays a scientific classification of energy proficient clustering scheme in WSNs [29].

Parul Saini et al. proposed EDEEC for three sorts of nodes in delaying the lifetime and network stability. Subsequently, it builds the heterogeneity and energy level of the network. Simulation results demonstrate that EDEEC performs superior to SEP with more solidness and successful messages [30].

Parul Saini et al. proposed an energy effective cluster head technique, for heterogeneous WSNs, by changing the limit estimation of a node focused around which it chooses to be a cluster head or not, called TDEEC (Threshold Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering) protocol. Simulation results demonstrate that proposed algorithm performs better as contrasted with others [15].

Harneet Kour et al. demonstrated the effect of heterogeneity as far as node energy in WSNs. At last the simulation result shows that H-HEED accomplishes longer lifetime and more viable information packets in correlation with the HEED protocol [31].

Brahim Elbhiri et al. proposed and assess a clustering system called a Developed Distributed Energy-Efficient Clustering technique for heterogeneous WSNs. This system is focused around changing progressively and with more proficiency the cluster head election probability. Simulation results demonstrate that this protocol performs superior to the Stable Election Protocol (SEP) by about 30% [13].

Li Qing et al. proposed and assess another technique for heterogeneous WSNs, which is called DEEC. In DEEC, the cluster heads are chosen by a probability focused around the degree between residual energy of every node and the average energy of the system. The epochs of being cluster sets out toward nodes are distinctive as per their initial and residual energy. The nodes with high probability energy will have more opportunities to be the cluster heads than the nodes with low energy. At long last, the simulation results demonstrate that DEEC accomplishes longer lifetime and more powerful messages than current essential clustering protocols in heterogeneous situations [12].

Georgios Smaragdakis et al. studied the effect of heterogeneity of nodes, regarding their energy, in wireless sensor organizes that are progressively clustered. In these systems a portion

IJDACR
International Journal Of Digital Application & Contemporary Research

International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary Research
Website: www.ijdacr.com (Volume 5, Issue 3, October 2016)

of the nodes get to be cluster heads, total the information of their cluster parts and transmit it to the sink. They expect that a rate of the number of inhabitants in sensor nodes is furnished with extra energy assets this is a wellspring of heterogeneity which may come about because of the starting setting or as the operation of the system develops. They likewise expect that the sensors are arbitrarily (consistently) disseminated and are not versatile, the directions of the sink and the measurements of the sensor field are known. They demonstrate that the conduct of such sensor networks gets extremely unsteady once the first node die, particularly in the vicinity of node heterogeneity. Established clustering protocols accept that all the nodes are outfitted with the same measure of energy and thus, they cannot exploit the vicinity of node heterogeneity. Authors proposed SEP, a heterogeneous-aware protocol to draw out the time interim before the death of the first node, which is essential for some applications where the input from the sensor network must be dependable. SEP is focused around weighted race probabilities of every node to wind up cluster head as per the lingering energy in every node. They demonstrate by simulation that SEP dependably drags out the dependability period contrasted with (and that the normal throughput is more prominent than) the one got utilizing current clustering protocols [17].

Seema Bandyopadhyay et al. proposed a conveyed, randomized clustering algorithm to compose the sensors in a WSN into clusters. They then stretch out this algorithm to produce a chain of command of cluster heads and watch that the energy reserve funds build with the amount of levels in the order. Brings about stochastic geometry are utilized to determine answers for the estimations of parameters of this algorithm that minimize the aggregate energy used in the system when all sensors report information through the cluster heads to the preparing focus [32].

D. J. Dechene et al. analysed as of now proposed clustering algorithms for Wireless Sensor Networks. They quickly examine the operations of these algorithms, and in addition draw examinations on the execution between the different techniques. Particularly, they analyze the execution regarding the power and quality parts of these techniques. They likewise examine changes to be made for future proposed clustering methods. This paper ought to give the spectator a premise for examination in clustering techniques for WSNs [33].
Ghalib A. Shah et al. proposed a Multi-Event Adaptive Cluster (MEAC) protocol to ration the energy of sensor nodes in the vicinity of heterogeneity. It is attained by considering three outline calculates; (1) choosing a proper node to capacity as cluster head, (2) constraining the amount of clusters in the system and (3) lessening the recurrence of clusters renewal. Execution assessment results demonstrate that MEAC enhances the stability and energy conservation of the heterogeneous WSN [34].

IV. CONCLUSION

In wireless sensor networks, the energy limitations of nodes play a crucial role in designing any protocol for implementation. In addition, Quality of Service metrics such as delay, data loss tolerance, and network lifetime expose reliability issues when designing recovery mechanisms for clustering schemes. These important characteristics are often opposed, as one often has a negative impact on the other. This paper reviewed about different clustering protocols for heterogeneous WSNs containing different level of heterogeneity.

REFERENCE

[1] I. F. Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankara subramaniam, E. Cayirci, “Wireless sensor networks: a survey, Computer Networks”, 38 (4) (2002) 393-422.
[2] Romer, K., Kastin, O. and Mattern, F., “Middleware challenges for wireless sensor networks”. ACM SIGMOBILE Mobile Computing and Communications Review. 6 (4): pp 5961, 2002.
[3] Shorey, R., Ananda, A. and Ooi, W.T., “Mobile, wireless, and sensor networks," 1st edition, IEEE Press, John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
[4] Hart, J.K. and Martinez, K., “Environmental Sensor Networks: A revolution in the earth system science” Earth Science Reviews. 78: pp. 177191, 2002.
[5] Bokareva, T., Hu, W., Kanhere, S., Ristic, B., Gordon, N., Bessell, T., Rutten, M. and Jha, S. Wireless sensor networks for battlefield surveillance. 2006.
[6] DuarteMelo, E.J. and Mingyan, L., “Analysis of energy consumption and lifetime of heterogeneous wireless sensor networks”, Global Telecommunications Conference. GLOBECOM '02. IEEE, 1: pp 2125, 2002.
[7] Lu, K., Qian, Y. and Hu, J., “A framework for distributed key management schemes in heterogeneous wireless sensor networks”. Performance, Computing, and Communications Conference. IPCCC 2006. 25th IEEE International, 7: pp, 2006.
[8] V. Katiyar, N. Chand, S. Soni, “A Survey on Clustering lgorithms for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks” Int. J. Advanced Networking and Applications Vol. 02, Issue: 04, pp. 745-754, 2011.
[9] D. Kumar, T. C. Aseri and R. B. Patel, “EEHC: Energy efficient heterogeneous clustered scheme for wireless sensor networks,” Computer Communications, Vol. 32, 2009, pp. 662–667.

IJDACR
International Journal Of Digital Application & Contemporary Research

International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary Research
Website: www.ijdacr.com (Volume 5, Issue 3, October 2016)

[10] C. Duan and H. Fan, "A Distributed Energy Balance Clustering Protocol for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks," Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, 2007. WiCom 2007. International Conference on, vol., no., 21-25 Sept. 2007, pp. 2469-2473.

[11] Md. Golam Rashed, M. Hasnat Kabir and S. E. Ullah, "WEP: An energy efficient protocol for cluster based heterogeneous wireless sensor network," International Journal of Distributed and Parallel Systems (IJDPS) Vol.2, No.2, March 2011, pp. 54-60.

[12] L. Qing, Q. Zhu and M. Wang, "Design of a distributed energy-efficient clustering algorithm for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," Computer Communications, Vol. 29, 2006, pp. 2230–2237.

[13] Elbhiri, B., Saadane, R., El Fkihi, S., Aboutajdine, D. "Developed Distributed Energy-Efficient Clustering (DDEEC) for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks", in: 5th International Symposium on I/V Communications and Mobile Network (ISVC), 2010.

[14] B. Elbhiri, R. Saadane and D. Aboutajdine, "Stochastic Distributed Energy-Efficient Clustering (SDEEC) for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," ICGST-CNIR Journal, Volume 9, Issue 2, December 2009, pp.11-17.

[15] Parul Saini, Ajay.K.Sharma, "Energy Efficient Scheme for Clustering Protocol Prolonging the Lifetime of Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks", International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 8887), Volume 6 No.2, September 2010.

[16] W.R. Heinzelman, A.P. Chandrakasan, H. Balakrishnan, "An application specific protocol architecture for wireless micro sensor networks", IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications 1 (4) (2002) 660-670.

[17] G. Smaragdakis, I. Matta, A. Bestavros, "SEP: A Stable Election Protocol for clustered heterogeneous wireless sensor networks", in: Second International Workshop on Sensor and Actor Network Protocols and Applications, 2004.

[18] Elbhiri Brahim,Saadane Rachid,Alba-Pages Zamora, Driss Aboutajdine, "Stochastic Distributed Energy-Efficient Clustering (SDEEC) for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks", ICGST-CNIR Journal, Volume 9, Issue 2, December 2009.

[19] Jun Wang, Xuegang Zhu1, Yong Cheng and Yongsheng Zhu, "A Distributed, Hybrid Energy-Efficient Clustering Protocol for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Network", International Journal of Grid and Distributed Computing, Vol. 6, No. 4, August, 2013.

[20] Zhanyang Xu, Yue Yin, Jin Wang, "An Energy-efficient Clustering Routing Algorithm for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks", NGCIT 2013, ASTL Vol. 27, pp. 85 - 93, 2013.

[21] C.Divya, N.Krishnan, A.Petchiammal, "Modified Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Network, International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2013.

[22] S Taruna, Sakshi Shringi, "Zone-Based Clustering Protocol for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks", IJERA, 2013.

[23] Nilima Rani Das, Debashree Mishra Sar, "Analytical Study on Energy Efficient Clustering Algorithms for Wireless Sensor Networks", International Journal of Emerging Trends & Technology in Computer Science, 2012.

[24] M.Jagadeeswara Reddy, P.Chenna Reddy, A.Ganesh, "Energy-Efficient Self Reconfigurable Clustering Approach for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks, International Journal of Computer Applications, 2012.

[25] Afroz Mansoori, "Particle Swarm Optimized Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering Protocol for WSN", International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary research, 2012.

[26] S.R.Boselin Prabhu, Assistant Professor, "A Survey of Adaptive Distributed Clustering Algorithms for Wireless Sensor Networks", International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Survey (IJCSES) Vol.2, No.4, November 2011.

[27] D. Kumar, T.C. Aseri, R.B. Patel, "EECDA: Energy Efficient Clustering and Data Aggregation Protocol for Heterogeneous", Int. J. of Computers, Communications & Control, 2011.

[28] Ashok Kumar, Narottam Chand and Vinod Kumar, "Location Based Clustering in Wireless Sensor Networks", World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 2011.

[29] Vinay Kumar, Sanjeev Jain and Sudarshan Tiwari, "Energy Efficient Clustering Algorithms in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey", IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, Vol. 8, Issue 5, No 2, September 2011.

[30] Parul Saini, Ajay.K.Sharma, "E-DEEC- Enhanced Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering Scheme for heterogeneous WSN", in: 2010 1st International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing (PDGC - 2010).

[31] Harneet Kour, Ajay K. Sharma, "Hybrid Energy Efficient Distributed Protocol for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Network", International Journal of Computer Applications, 2010.

[32] Seema Bandyopadhyay and Edward J. Coyle, "An Energy Efficient Hierarchical Clustering Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Networks", IEEE, 2003

[33] D. J. Dechene, A. El Jardali, M. Luccini, and A. Sauer, "A Survey of Clustering Algorithms for Wireless Sensor Networks", 2004.

[34] Shah GA, Akan OB, Bozyigit M., "Multi-event Adaptive Clustering (MEAC) Protocol for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks", The IFIP Fifth Annual Mediterranean Ad Hoc Networking Workshop (Med-Hoc-Net 2006), pp. 30-37, June 2006.