

Performance Evaluation of Precoded-STBC over Rayleigh Fading Channel using BPSK & QPSK Modulation Schemes

Radhika Porwal

*M. Tech. Scholar, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
Mahakal Institute of Technology, Ujjain
(M.P.), India
E-mail: porwal.radhika@gmail.com*

Prof. Gaurav Gupta

*HOD, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
Mahakal Institute of Technology, Ujjain
(M.P.), India
E-mail: gauravgupta2k3@yahoo.co.in*

Abstract— Alamouti code is a simple space-time code that can be used for transmit diversity systems. This is a class of easily decoded space-time codes that achieve full diversity order in Rayleigh fading channels. Alamouti exist only for certain numbers of transmit antennas and do not provide array gain like diversity techniques that exploit transmit channel information. When channel state information (CSI) is available at the transmitter, though, precoding the space-time codeword can be used to support different numbers of transmit antennas. The proposed work in this paper involves a transmitted signal consists of a precode followed by Alamouti code. A new design criterion and a corresponding design method of precoders are proposed which shows comparison of bit error rate (BER) performance of Alamouti STBC and Precoded Alamouti in Zero Forcing and MMSE equalization techniques. The Rayleigh fading channel is used as modulation channel.

Keywords – Alamouti, BER, CSI, MMSE Precoding, Rayleigh fading, STBC, Zero Forcing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Multiple-Input multiple-output (MIMO) wireless channels have considerably higher capacities than traditional channels. Fading makes it extremely difficult for the receiver to recover the transmitted signal unless the receiver is provided with some form of diversity, i.e. replicas of the same transmitted signal with uncorrelated attenuation. In fact, diversity combining technology has been one of the most important contributors to reliable wireless communications. Consider transmit diversity by deploying multiple antennas at the base station. Moreover, in economic terms, the cost of multiple transmit antennas at the base station can be amortized over numerous mobile users. Hence transmit diversity has been identified as one of the key contributing technologies to the downlinks of 3G wireless systems such as W-CDMA and CDMA2000.

This paper analyses the full diversity condition in MIMO to achieve the better BER performance. We take Alamouti STBC to show that BER performance will improve when antenna size improved. But the cost of device improve make it practically impossible and power consumption will more for mobile device, so alternate is using same MIMO with turbo code so same will give better result, with two antenna.

Transmit Diversity

Transmit diversity improves the signal quality and achieves a higher SNR ratio at the receiver side; it involves transmitting data stream through multiple antennas and receiving by single antenna or more. Transmit diversity can effectively mitigate multipath fading effects as multiple antennas afford a receiver several observations of the same data stream. Each antenna will experience a different interference environment and if one antenna experienced a deep fade, then it is likely that another has a sufficient signal. Thus, transmit diversity can help improve the reliability of the data reception and data decoding as well. The most popular examples of these transmit diversity techniques include Alamouti code [1] and orthogonal codes and orthogonal codes proposed by Taroukh [2]. Figure 1 shows the whole system for an exemplary N_t transmit antenna system [3].




Figure 1: Transmit diversity [3]

Receive Diversity




Figure 2: Receive diversity [3]

Receive diversity are widely used in wireless communication systems; it can be achieved by receiving redundant copies of the same signal. The idea behind receive diversity is that each antenna at the receive end can observe an independent copy of the same signal. Therefore the probability that all signals are in deep fade simultaneously is significantly reduced.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the Alamouti space time coding schemes. Section 3 explains methodology, section 4 presents simulation results. The conclusions are offered in Section 5.

II. ALAMOUTI STBC

It is simple method for achieving spatial diversity with two transmit antennas. The scheme is as follows:

Consider that we have a transmission sequence, for example

$$\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$$

In normal transmission, we will be sending x_1 in the first time slot, x_2 in the second time slot, x_3 and so on.




Figure 3: (a) Transmit, (b) Receive Alamouti STBC coding [1]

However, Alamouti [1] suggested that we group the symbols into groups of two. In the first time slot, send x_1 and x_2 from the first and second antenna. In second time slot send $-x_2^*$ and x_1^* from the first and second antenna. In the third time slot send x_3 and x_4 from the first and second antenna. In fourth time slot, send $-x_4^*$ and x_3^* from the first and second antenna and so on.

Notice that though we are grouping two symbols, we still need two time slots to send two symbols. Hence, there is no change in the data rate. This forms the simple explanation of the transmission scheme with Alamouti Space Time Block coding.

Alamouti scheme is an example of a full-rate full-diversity complex space-time block code.

III. METHODOLOGY




Figure 4: Block diagram of proposed system

Figure 4 shows the basic block diagram for proposed system. Here rotational precoding is used which is explained as:

Rotational Precoding

The main aim of rotational precoding is to direct all the power to the sub-streams along their corresponding Eigen directions of the channel, which can be achieved by the selection of appropriate codeword from the set that minimizes the distance

$$d(W_k, W_l) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|W_k W_k^H - W_l W_l^H\|_F \quad (1)$$

Where d is the chordal distance.

Precoded STBC

Consider the MIMO system with N_T antennas, that is $h \in C^{1 \times N_T}$. Let $C \in C^{M \times T}$ denote a space-time codeword with a length of M, which is represented as:

$$C = [c_1 c_2 \dots c_T] \quad (2)$$

International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary research
Website: www.ijdacr.com (Volume 2, Issue 7, February 2014)

Where, $c_k = [c_{k,1} c_{k,2} \dots c_{k,M}]^T$
 $k = 1, 2, \dots, T$ and $M \leq N_T$ (3)

In the precoded STBC systems, the space-time codeword C is multiplied by a precoding matrix $W \in C^{N_T \times M}$, which is chosen from the codebook.

$$F = \{W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots, W_L\} \quad (4)$$

The objective is to choose an appropriate codeword that improves the overall system performance such as channel capacity or error performance. Assuming that N_T channels remain static over T , the received signal $y \in C^{1 \times T}$ can be expressed as,

$$y = \sqrt{\frac{E_x}{N_T}} hWC + z \quad (5)$$

In above equation the length of each vector is $M \leq N_T$. The probability of codeword error can be

derived as follows: For a given channel h and precoding matrix W , we consider the pair wise codeword error probability $P_r(C_i \rightarrow C_j|H)$. The upper bound of the pair wise error probability is given as:

$$P_r(C_i \rightarrow C_j|H) = Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho \|HWE_{i,j}\|_F^2}{2N_T}}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\rho \|HWE_{i,j}\|_F^2}{4N_T}\right) \quad (6)$$

Where ρ is the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), given as $\rho = E_x/N_0$ and $E_{i,j}$ is the error matrix between the codewords C_i and C_j which is defined as $E_{i,j} = C_i - C_j$ for a given STBC scheme. From equation above we see that $\|HWE_{i,j}\|_F^2$ needs to be maximized in order to minimize the pairwise error probability.




Figure 5: MIMO system model with Precoder

This leads us to the following codeword selection criterion:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{opt} &= \arg \max_{W \in F, i \neq j} \|HWE_{i,j}\|_F^2 \\ &= \arg \max_{W \in F, i \neq j} \text{Tr}(HWE_{i,j}E_{i,j}^H W^H H^H) \\ &= \arg \max_{W \in F} \text{Tr}(HW W^H H^H) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \arg \max_{W \in F} \|HW\|_F^2 \quad (7)$$

In the course of deriving equation (7), we have used the fact that the error matrix of STBC has the property of $E_{i,j}E_{i,j}^H = aI$ with constant a . When the constraint $W \in F$ is not imposed, the above optimum solution W_{opt} is not unique, because $\|HW_{opt}\|_F^2 = \|HW_{opt}Z\|_F^2$. Where Z is a

unitary matrix. The unconstrained optimum solution of equation (7) can be obtained by singular value decomposition (SVD) of channel $H = U\Sigma V^H$, where the diagonal entry of S is in descending order. It is shown that the optimum solution of above equation is given by the leftmost M columns of V , that is,

$$W_{opt} = [v_1 v_1 \dots v_M] \triangleq \bar{V} \quad (8)$$

Since \bar{V} is unitary, $\lambda_i(W_{opt}) = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ where $\lambda_i(A)$ denotes the i^{th} largest eigenvalue of the matrix A .

In case that a channel is not deterministic, the following criterion is used for the codebook design:

$$E \left\{ \min_{W \in F} (\|HW_{opt}\|_F^2 - \|HW\|_F^2) \right\} \quad (9)$$

Where the expectation is with regards to the random channel H . W_{opt} in equation (9) follows from equation (8) for the given channel H .

The above expected value in equation (9) is upper-bounded as:

$$E \left\{ \min_{W \in F} (\|HW_{opt}\|_F^2 - \|HW\|_F^2) \right\} \leq E \{ \lambda_1^2 \{ H \} \} E \left\{ \min_{W \in F} \frac{1}{2} \| \bar{V} \bar{V}^H - WW^H \|_F^2 \right\} \quad (10)$$

Since $\lambda_1^2 \{ H \}$ is given, the codebook must be designed so as to minimize,

$$E \left\{ \min_{W \in F} \frac{1}{2} \| \bar{V} \bar{V}^H - WW^H \|_F^2 \right\} \text{ in equation (10).}$$

IV. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

Simulation is carried out using MATLAB 2010a:




Figure 6: Comparison of BER performance of Alamouti without precoding and with precoding in Zero forcing




Figure 7: Comparison of BER performance of Alamouti without precoding and with precoding in MMSE

V. CONCLUSION

This paper is devoted to space-time block coding in order to improve the BDR performance of such wireless communication systems specifically those using multiple transmitter and receiver antennas. Simulation results shows comparison of BER performance between the Alamouti STBC and Precoded Alamouti for Zero Forcing and MMSE equalization techniques. And finally it is found that the Precoded Alamouti shows better results in terms of BER as compared to other schemes for Z-F and MMSE techniques.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.M. Alamouti, A simple diversity technique for wireless communications, IEEE Journal on Sel. Areas in Com., Vol. 16, No. 8, pp. 1451-1458, Oct, 1998.
- [2] V. Tarokh, H. Jafarkhani, A.R. Calderbank, Space-time block coding for wireless communications: Performance results, IEEE Journal on Sel. Areas in Com., vol.17, no. 3, pp. 451-460, Mar. 1999.
- [3] H. Bolcskei and A. j. Paulraj, "Multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) wireless systems", Chapter in "The Communications Handbook", 2nd edition, J. Gibson, ed., CRC Press, pp. 90.1 - 90.14, 2002.
- [4] H. Bolcskei, D. Gesbert, C. Papadias, and A. J. van der Veen, Eds., "Space-Time Wireless Systems: From Array Processing to MIMO Communications", Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.
- [5] F. Oggier and G. Rekaya and J-C. Belfiore and E. Viterbo "Perfect Space Time Block Codes" IEEE Trans. Information Theory, Vol. 52, Issue 9, Sept. 2006 Page(s):3885 - 3902.
- [6] 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Physical Channels and Modulation (Release 8), 3GPP TS 36.211 V8.4.0 (2008-09), 2008.
- [7] N. Jindal and A. Goldsmith, "Dirty paper coding vs. TDMA for MIMO broadcast channels," in Proc. of the IEEE international conference on communications ICC, June 2004, p. 682686.

International Journal of Digital Application & Contemporary research

Website: www.ijdacr.com (Volume 2, Issue 7, February 2014)

- [8] M. Joham et al., "Linear transmit processing in MIMO communications systems," *IEEE Trans. on Sig. Proc.*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 2700–2712, August 2005.
- [9] A. Paulraj, R. Nabar, and D. Gore, *Introduction to Space-Time Wireless Communications*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [10] M. Tomlinson, "New automatic equaliser employing modulo arithmetic," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 7, no. 5/6, pp. 138–139, March 1971.
- [11] H. Harashima and H. Miyakawa, "Matched-transmission technique for channels with intersymbol interference," *IEEE Trans on Comn.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 774–780, August 1972.
- [12] G. Cinis and J. Cioffi, "A multi-user precoding scheme achieving crosstalk cancellation with application to DSL systems," in *Proc. Asilomar Conf. on Signals, Systems, and Computers*, November 2000, vol. 2, pp. 1627–1637.
- [13] R. F. H. Fischer, C. Windpassinger, A. Lampe, and J. B. Huber, "Space-time transmission using Tomlinson-Harashima precoding," in *4. ITG Conference on Source and Channel Coding*, Berlin, January 2002, pp. 139–147.
- [14] Veljko Stankovic and Martin Haardt, "Generalized Design of Multi-User MIMO Precoding Matrices", *IEEE*, 2008.